


As the plain meaning of the claim term *camming portion* does not require contact translate rotational movement to linear movement, Applicants respectfully submit that this phrase cannot render the claim indefinite.

Prior Art

Section 5 of the office action stated that further consideration of the claims with respect to the prior art would be given upon clarification. Applicants believe that first, the invention is clearly recited in the pending claims. Further, the previous office action provided an examination of the claims with respect to the prior art. Applicants addressed each of the prior art rejections in the paper filed on June 3, 2002, and thoroughly explained why the claimed invention is patentable over the prior art of record.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that all pending claims of the present application are allowable. As evidenced by the above amendments and remarks, the Applicants have made a genuine effort to advance this case to issuance. The Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned attorney with any further questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,


Mark L. Gleason
Reg. No. 39,998

Attorney for Applicant

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

P.O. Box 4433

Houston, Texas 77210-4433

952-474-3701

Date:

11/20/02